



development to support the secondary use of information for HSC purposes. RMcC had also encouraged the NI Cancer Registry to consider the concepts of a safe-haven and honest broker.

RMcC also referred to correspondence received from Dr Adrian Mairs, on the transfer of patient identifiable data from the PHA to the NICR and vice versa (as circulated).

## **5. Dr Ken MacDonald ~Assistant Commissioner (Scotland & Northern Ireland)**

RMcC welcomed Dr Ken Macdonald, Assistant Commissioner, ICO to the meeting. Dr Macdonald provided an overview of the role of the Information Commissioners Office and of recent changes.

Christopher Graham appointed as Information Commissioner in June 2009.

The ICO will be producing a Code of Practice on the anonymisation of data.

Dr Macdonald outlined the dual role of the ICO, in terms of freedom of information as well as data protection, and its role in assisting data controllers.

Dr Macdonald advised that Catherine Vint and Nigel Trainor were the Policy Team based in Belfast and they would be happy to provide awareness-raising sessions to HSC organisations.

Dr Macdonald referred to the ICO Data Sharing Code of Practice (May 2011) which includes recommendations and guidance for data controllers.

As of April 2010 the ICO also has the power to serve monetary penalties on those organisations/data controllers who are found to have recklessly breached the data protection legislation and guidance. The ICO enforces regulatory action when data has not been properly protected, to try to deter personal data security breaches.

Dr Macdonald referred to the escalation of the issue of data protection within HSC organisations to Trust Board/Chief Executive level, who had a duty to ensure that staff were made aware of data protection guidance, as well as the appointment of Information Asset Owners.

The ICO will be developing a Code of Practice on the anonymisation of data, commencing in 2012. Dr Macdonald advised that the ICO were currently looking for volunteers to act as 'critical readers' for this Code of Practice and welcomed nominations from the PAC.

Dr Macdonald highlighted that the implementation of data protection policy should be viewed as a beneficial management tool for organisations in the management of 'fit for purpose' data, as opposed to being viewed as a burden.

RMcC thanked Dr Macdonald for attending the meeting and for outlining the work of the ICO. [RMcC to forward letter of thanks, on behalf of PAC].

**RMcC**

RMcC commented that the relevance and overlap of the privacy advisory role along with the data protection role would be discussed at a future PAC meeting.

## **6. Review of Code of Practice**

RMcC advised that following the October working meeting of the PAC, Draft 3.3 of the Code of Practice had been revised and amended. Draft 4.3 had been circulated (also re-issued to include comments received from Grace Irwin).

An Executive Summary had been incorporated, as well as End of Chapter Summaries. It was suggested that the Executive Summary be used to produce a staff handout. Order of Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 had been changed (to be updated in Preface).

The changes incorporated into Version 4.3 were reviewed and agreed, as had been discussed at the October working meeting. The comments and suggested amendments, which had been forwarded by Grace Irwin were also reviewed.

RMcC advised that all changes following this meeting would be incorporated into Version 5.1 (to be highlighted using track changes) and the document would be re-circulated. RMcC asked for any further comments/alterations to be forwarded.

**All**

The DHSSPSNI Data Sharing Code of Practice has yet to be issued – RMcC agreed to follow up with Chris Matthews.

**RMcC**

RMcC advised that he intended to write formally to all those organisations and individuals who had responded to the consultation on the review of the COP to thank them for the constructive comments which had been received.

**RMcC**

RW advised that the PCC felt that they should be using the COP as a reference point and good practice guide. PCC members had felt that the COP should be more widely disseminated and steps taken to raise awareness of its existence.

## **7. Others Matters Arising from the COP Consultation Responses (Enc 5)**

### **Service User Leaflet**

Brice Dickson (BD) and Roisin Wylie (RW) agreed to review the Service User Leaflet. RW advised that members of the PCC had offered to assist in proof-reading the leaflet to ensure it is user-friendly. It was queried whether or not a user-friendly 'Executive Summary' of the COP should be produced.

**BC & RW**

RMcC welcomed suggestions as to other initiatives in terms of keeping service users informed.

### **Staff Guidance Leaflet**

Options for revising the leaflet were discussed including using the Executive Summary of the COP and/or the flow diagrams in chapter 3. It was suggested that the leaflet is included in staff induction programmes. It was proposed that contact be made with BSO Human Resources Department, re inclusion in staff induction programmes.

It was highlighted that the COP should be cross-referenced within existing policy and procedures and that these should be amended in line with the update of the COP. Agreed that it was also important to ensure that staff are aware that the COP has been amended.

RMcC proposed that the staff leaflet be distributed along with the revised COP and that the service user leaflet is issued at the same time.

**Training Guidance** – issue to be revisited.

**Web-based Training** – RMcC advised that he had discussed this issue with Colin Harper.

John Growcott agreed to produce a vignette and if this proved to be successful RMcC would ask the other members of PAC to produce similar.

- 8. Information Governance Report**  
**Chris Matthews, Head of Information Management**  
~ **Data Sharing Agreement**  
Deferred to next meeting.

**9. Any Other Business**

- 10. Dates for Next Meetings**
- **Thursday, 19<sup>th</sup> January 2012**
  - **Thursday, 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2012**
  - **Thursday, 24<sup>th</sup> May 2012**
  - **Thursday, 19<sup>th</sup> July 2012**
  - **Thursday, 13<sup>th</sup> September 2012**
  - **Thursday, 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2012**

RMcC noted that it may be necessary to postpone the 22<sup>nd</sup> March meeting and would ask that the PAC also keep the 29<sup>th</sup> March free.